

**Conference:** CHIVA 2021  
**Deadline:** 7<sup>th</sup> July 2021  
**Word count:** 2494 characters

**Title:** Virological failures and genotypic resistance in children and adolescents randomised to dolutegravir-based ART vs. standard-of-care in the ODYSSEY trial

**Authors:** Ellen White<sup>1</sup> and Cissy Kityo<sup>2</sup> on behalf of the ODYSSEY team

**Authors' affiliations:** (1) MRC CTU at UCL, London, United Kingdom; (2) Joint Clinical Research Centre, Kampala, Uganda

**Background:** ODYSSEY demonstrated superiority of dolutegravir (DTG) based ART versus standard-of-care (SOC) in children  $\geq 14$ kg starting first- or second-line. We evaluate drug resistance by 96 weeks.

**Methods:** Virological failure (VF) was defined as confirmed viral load (VL) $\geq 400$ c/mL after week 36 or lack of virological response at week 24 with ART switch. Children with VF were tested for post-failure resistance (major IAS mutation); if resistance was identified, a baseline sample was sequenced. The proportion with emergent resistance post-failure was estimated in those exposed to each drug class in ODYSSEY.

**Results:** 311 children started first-line ART (154 DTG, 157 SOC [92% efavirenz]) and 396 second-line (196 DTG, 200 SOC [72% lopinavir/r, 25% atazanavir/r]). On first-line, 11 (7%) DTG vs 30 (19%) SOC experienced VF by 96 weeks, and on second-line, 31 (16%) DTG vs 40 (20%) SOC. First-line: no new DTG or NRTI resistance on first-line DTG versus estimated 62% and 88% children with new NRTI and NNRTI resistance respectively among failures in SOC (Table). Second-line: no new resistance to NRTIs on DTG vs estimated 9% among failures in SOC. One child (estimated 3%) with VF on PIs had new PI resistance and two children (100%) on NNRTIs had new NNRTI resistance. 4 (18%) with VF on DTG had INSTI mutations.

**Conclusion:** ODYSSEY demonstrated that DTG has a high genetic resistance barrier and prevents emergent resistance to NRTIs in children. We identified no post-failure resistance on first-line DTG, significantly less than first-line SOC. On second-line DTG, there was no new NRTI resistance, however 4 children developed new INSTI resistance, highlighting the need for ongoing adherence support among children.

**Table:** Genotypic resistance in the ODYSSEY trial

	First-line				Second-line							
	DTG		SOC		DTG vs. SOC		DTG		SOC		DTG vs. SOC	
<b>Children with resistance post-failure]</b>												
<b>NRTI</b>	0/11	0%	18/29	62%	p<0.001	20/28	71%	28/39	72%	P=0.97		
<b>NNRTI</b>	0/11	0%	27/29	93%	p<0.001	21/28	75%	35/39	90%	p=0.18		
<b>PI</b>	0/11	0%	0/29	0%		2/28	7%	2/39	5%	p=1.00		
<b>INSTI</b>	0/10	0%	-	-		4/22	18%	-	-			
<b>Children and estimated proportion with emergent resistance post-failure~</b>												
<b>NRTI</b>	0	0%	13	62%		0	0%	3	9%			
<b>NNRTI</b>	-	-	18	88%		-	-	2	100%			
<b>PI</b>	-	-	-	-		-	-	1	3%			
<b>INSTI</b>	0	0%	-	-		4	18%	-	-			

]Post-failure resistance up to week 96, using the latest sample with VL $\geq 1000$ c/mL after VF and prior to ART switch. % with resistance post-failure, of those with post-failure resistance test available

~Among those with VF and exposed to drug-class, estimated assuming same proportion of new resistance in those with and without available baseline test